

*Session on “Mobility”  
at the IFEES Summit 2011*

**Introduction for a discussion on  
“Mobility of engineering graduates”**

by

**Giuliano Augusti**

President, European Network for  
Accreditation of Engineering Education (ENAE)



Mobility of students at different stages of their career essentially depends on trust between HEIs....

To ensure mobility of engineering graduates, some more formal trans-national recognition is necessary.

In this context, the catchword is “accreditation” or more precisely: “accreditation as entry route to a profession” (that can be defined pre-professional accreditation), provided it is inserted into an international system...

On the world scene of engineering,  
one can identify two existing systems  
of transnational recognition....

1. The IEA system;
2. The European situation (hardly a “system”)

In this introduction, I will briefly describe the  
two systems.

Then, I will ask Dr Arun Patil, of CQUniversity,  
Australia, to elaborate on the significance of  
and the obstacles to mobility, and to make  
some constructive proposals.

*On the world scene ...two systems of transnational recognition....*

The **IEA system** comprises the Washington/Sydney/Dublin Accords for recognition of degrees (resp. of Eng. Bachelors, Technologists and Eng. Technicians) and three “Mobility Fora” for automatic (in principle!) recognition of professional qualifications.

The Washington Accord dates back to 1989 and now includes **14** “signatories” and **6** “provisional members” (countries or “jurisdictions”).

*On the world scene ...two systems ....*

In the **European Union**, mobility of professionals is (should be?) guaranteed by a “Directive” (= European Law): anybody practising a profession in a Member State is entitled to practice it throughout the EU.

“Professions” are defined within the European Qualification Frameworks at appropriate “levels”: a system still in the making.

“Education” is outside the realm of the EU Treatises: hence “recognition of degrees” must follow a “bottom-up” approach, as the “Bologna Process” is doing in the 47-country European HE Area (EHEA).

**Within this context, the “voluntary” EUR-ACE system...**

## How does the **EUR-ACE<sup>®</sup> accreditation system** work?

- **National (or Regional) Agencies** accredit EE programmes.
- If the Agency satisfies appropriate Quality requirements, **and** the accredited engineering programmes satisfy the **EUR-ACE Framework Standards**, the **EUR-ACE<sup>®</sup>** quality label can be “added” to the national accreditation, thus giving it an international value.
- The **EUR-ACE<sup>®</sup>** label distinguishes between **FIRST CYCLE** and **SECOND CYCLE DEGREES**, in accord with the European Qualification Frameworks. “Integrated (long) Programmes” can be awarded the **SC** label



ENAAE, has registered the EUR-ACE<sup>®</sup> trademark, and is entitled to authorize National Agencies to award the EUR-ACE<sup>®</sup> (FC and/or SC) label

Today (September 2011) seven “Agencies” are EUR-ACE-authorized and have awarded approx. 900 labels:

- ASIIN (Accr. Agency for Programs in Engineering, etc.), Germany
- CTI (Commission des Titres d’Ingénieur), France
- Engineers Ireland
- RAEE (Russian Association for Engineering Education)
- Engineering Council, United Kingdom
- Ordem dos Engenheiros, Portugal
- MÜDEK (Association for Evaluation and Accreditation of Engineering Programs), Turkey

## EUR-ACE is spreading:

- six Agencies from six countries were EUR-ACE-authorized in October 2006;
- MÜDEK [Turkey] has been added in January 2009.

## Further countries and Agencies likely to join EUR-ACE in the near future:

- Lithuania [SKVC]
- Romania [ARACIS]
- Switzerland [OAQ]
- Italy [QUACING]
- Finland [FINNHEEC]
- Netherlands & Flanders [NVAO]
- Wallonia [AEQES & CTI]
- .....

